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## Introduction

## Properties of $N(1535)$

Breit-Wigner mass=1525 to 1545 MeV ; Breit-Wigner full width=125 to 175 MeV ;

| Decay modes | Fraction $\left(\Gamma_{i} / \Gamma\right)$ | p (Mev/c) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N \pi$ | $35-55 \%$ | 468 |
| $N \eta$ | $45-60 \%$ | 186 |
| $N \pi \pi$ | $1-10 \%$ | 426 |
| $p \gamma$, helicity $=1 / 2$ | $0.15-0.35 \%$ | 481 |
| $n \gamma$, helicity $=1 / 2$ | $0.004-0.29 \%$ | 480 |

Data extracted from:
Particle Group Data, Phys. Lett. B667, 1 (2008).

## Motivations

1. The inverse mass ordering of $N^{*}(1535)$ and $N^{*}$ (1440) predicted by CQM;
2. Strong $N^{*}(1535) K \wedge$ and $N^{*}(1535) N \eta^{\prime}$ coupling:
$\frac{g_{N^{*}(1535) K \Lambda}}{g_{N^{*}(1535) p \eta}}=1.3 \pm 0.3 ;$
[B. C. Liu and B. S. Zou, Mass and $K \wedge$ coupling of $N^{*}(1535)$, Phys. Rev. Lett., 96, 042002 (2006) .]
$\frac{g_{N^{*}(1535) N \phi}^{2}}{4 \pi}=0.13 ;$
[J. J. Xie and B. S. Zou, The role of $N^{*}(1535)$ in $p p \rightarrow p p \phi$ and $\pi p \rightarrow p \phi$ reactions, Phys. Rev. C 77, 015206 (2008) .]
$\frac{g_{N^{*}(1535) N \eta^{\prime}}^{2}}{4 \pi}=1.15$;
[Xu Cao and Xi-Guo Lee, Role of $N^{*}(1535)$ in $\eta^{\prime}$ production, Phys. Rev. C 78, 035207 (2008) .]
3. The electromagnetic transition $\gamma^{*} N \rightarrow N^{*}$ (1535);

[CLAS Collaboration, The ep $\rightarrow e^{\prime} p \eta$
reaction at and above the $S_{11}(1535)$ baryon resonance, Phys. Rev. Lett., 86, 1702 (2001) .]
4. The small (or vanishing) axial charge of $N^{*}$ (1535)


Toru T. Takahashi and Teiji Kunihiro, Axial charges of N(1535) and N(1650) in lattice QCD with two flavors of dynamical quarks, Phys. Rev. D 78, 011503 (2008), and Takahashi's talk

## 5. Recent studies of the five-quark components in baryons:

[1] B. S. Zou and D. O. Riska, The s $\bar{s}$ component of the proton and the strangeness magnetic moment, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 072001 (2005).
[2] Q. B. Li and D. O. Riska, Five-quark components in $\Delta$ (1232) $\rightarrow N \pi$ decay, Phys. Rev. C 73, 035201 (2006).
[3] C. S. An, D. O. Riska and B. S. Zou, Strangeness spin, magnetic moment and strangeness configurations of the proton, Phys. Rev. C 73, 035207 (2006) .
[4] Q. B. Li and D. O. Riska, The Role of five-quark components in gamma decay of the Delta(1232), Nucl. Phys. A 766, 172 (2006) .
[5] B. S. Zou and D. O. Riska, The Strangeness form-factors of the proton, Phys. Lett. B636, 265 (2006) .
[6] Q. B. Li and D. O. Riska, The Role of q anti-q components in the N(1440) resonance, Phys. Rev. C 74, 015202 (2006).
[7] B. Juliá-Díaz and D. O. Riska, The Role of qqqq $\bar{q}$ components in the nucleon and the $N(1440)$ resonance, Nucl. Phys. A 780, 175 (2006) .
[8] C. S. An, Q. B. Li, D. O. Riska and B. S. Zou, The qqqq̄ components and hidden flavor contributions to the baryon magnetic moments, Phys. Rev. C 74, 055205 (2006).
[9] Q. B. Li and D. O. Riska, TThe Role of 5-quark components on the nucleon form-factors, Nucl. Phys. A 791, 406 (2007).

## Five-Quark Components in $N(1535)$

$$
\begin{align*}
|N(1535)\rangle= & \sqrt{P_{3 q}}|q q q\rangle+\sqrt{P_{5 q}} \sum_{i} A_{i}\left|q q q q_{i} \bar{q}_{i}\right\rangle  \tag{1}\\
|q q q\rangle= & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m s} C_{1 m, \frac{1}{2}, s}^{\frac{1}{2}, s_{z}}\left\{\phi_{1 m}\left(\vec{\kappa}_{2}\right) \phi_{00}\left(\vec{\kappa}_{1}\right)\left[\left|\frac{1}{2}, t_{z}\right\rangle_{+}\left|\frac{1}{2}, s\right\rangle_{+}-\left|\frac{1}{2}, t_{z}\right\rangle_{-}\left|\frac{1}{2}, s\right\rangle_{-}\right]-\phi_{00}\left(\vec{\kappa}_{2}\right) \phi_{1 m}\left(\vec{\kappa}_{1}\right)\left[\left|\frac{1}{2}, t_{z}\right\rangle_{+}\left|\frac{1}{2}, s\right\rangle_{-}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\left|\frac{1}{2}, t_{z}\right\rangle_{-}\left|\frac{1}{2}, s\right\rangle_{+}\right]\right\},  \tag{2}\\
\left|q q q q_{i} \bar{q}_{i}\right\rangle= & \sum_{a, b, c} \sum_{Y, y, T_{z}, t_{z}} \sum_{S_{z}, s_{z}} C_{[31]_{a}}^{[14]}[211]_{a} C_{\left[F^{(i)}\right]_{b}\left[S^{(i)}\right]_{c}}^{[31]_{a}}\left[F^{(i)}\right]_{b, Y, T_{z}}\left[S^{(i)}\right]_{c, S_{z}}[211 ; C]_{a}\left(Y, T, T_{z}, y, \bar{t}, t_{z} \mid 1,1 / 2, t\right) \\
& \left(S, S_{z}, 1 / 2, s_{z} \mid 1 / 2, s\right) \bar{\chi}_{y, t_{z}} \bar{\xi}_{s_{z}} \varphi_{[5]} . \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Here

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{3 q}+P_{5 q}=1 ; \sum_{i} A_{i}^{2}=1 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left[F^{(i)}\right]_{b, Y, T_{z}},\left[S^{(i)}\right]_{c, S_{z}}$ and $[211 ; C]_{a}$ denote the flavor, spin and color wave functions of the four quark subsystem, respectively.

Color-Spin Type Hyperfine Interaction:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{C S}=-C_{C S} \sum_{i<j}^{N} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{i}^{C} \cdot \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{j}^{C} \vec{\sigma}_{i} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_{j} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Flavor-Spin Type Hyperfine: Interaction:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{F S}=-C_{F S} \sum_{i<j}^{N} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{i}^{F} \cdot \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{j}^{F} \vec{\sigma}_{i} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_{j} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

| configuration | flavor-spin | $C_{F S}$ | color-spin | $C_{C S}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $[31]_{F S}[211]_{F}[22]_{S}$ | -16 | $[31]_{C S}[211]_{C}[22]_{S}$ | -16 |
| 2 | $[31]_{F S}[211]_{F}[31]_{S}$ | $-40 / 3$ | $[31]_{C S}[211]_{C}[31]_{S}$ | $-40 / 3$ |
| 3 | $[31]_{F S}[22]_{F}[31]_{S}$ | $-28 / 3$ | $[22]_{C S}[211]_{C}[31]_{S}$ | $-16 / 3$ |
| 4 | $[31]_{F S}[31]_{F}[22]_{S}$ | -8 | $[211]_{C S}[211]_{C}[22]_{S}$ | 0 |
| 5 | $[31]_{F S}[31]_{F}[31]_{S}$ | $-16 / 3$ | $[211]_{C S}[211]_{C}[31]_{S}$ | $+8 / 3$ |

For $N^{*}(1535)$, two lowest energy configuration:


Flavor $[211]_{F}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
[211]_{F} \Longrightarrow \square_{F} \Longrightarrow[u u d s \bar{s}(u d d s \bar{s})] \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For Roper, the lowest energy configuration [An, Li, Zou and Riska, Phys. Rev. C 74, 055205, (2006)]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.[4]_{F S}[22]_{F}[22]_{S}: \square \square \mid\right]_{F S} \square \square_{F} \square \square_{S} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Flavor $[22]_{F}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
[22]_{F} \Longrightarrow \square \square_{F} \Longrightarrow\left[\xi_{d}(u u d d \bar{d})+\xi_{s}(u u d s \bar{s})\right] \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Applications

1. The axial charge of $N^{*}(1535)$
[An and Riska, Eur. Phys. J. A 37, 263, (2008)]

| configuration | flavor-spin | $A_{n}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $[31]_{F S}[211]_{F}[22]_{S}$ | 0 |
| 2 | $[31]_{F S}[211]_{F}[31]_{S}$ | $+5 / 6$ |
| 3 | $[31]_{F S}[22]_{F}[31]_{S}$ | $-1 / 9$ |
| 4 | $[31]_{F S}[31]_{F}[22]_{S}$ | $-4 / 15$ |
| 5 | $[31]_{F S}[31]_{F}[31]_{S}$ | $+17 / 18$ |

## Probabilities of the five-quark components in $N^{*}(1535)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{A}^{*} \simeq \sum_{n} A_{n} P_{n}=-\frac{1}{9} P_{3}+\frac{5}{6} P_{5}^{(2)}-\frac{1}{9} P_{5}^{(3)}-\frac{4}{15} P_{5}^{(4)}+\frac{17}{18} P_{5}^{(5)} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

(1) First two configurations:

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{A}^{N^{*} N^{*}} & =-\frac{1}{9} P_{3}+\frac{5}{6} P_{5}^{(2)} \\
\text { taking } \quad g_{A}^{N^{*} N^{*}} & =0 \Longrightarrow \frac{P_{3}}{P_{5}^{(2)}}=15 / 2, \\
& P_{5}^{(1)} \geq P_{5}^{(2)} \Longrightarrow P_{3} \leq 80 \% \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

(2) First three configurations:

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& g_{A}^{N^{*} N^{*}}=-\frac{1}{9} P_{3}+\frac{5}{6} P_{5}^{(2)}-\frac{1}{9} P_{5}^{(3)} \\
\text { taking } & g_{A}^{N^{*} N^{*}}=0, P_{5}^{(1)}=(2 \sim 5) P_{5}^{(2)}, P_{5}^{(2)}=P_{5}^{3}, \\
\Longrightarrow & =P_{3}=50 \% \sim 60 \% \tag{14}
\end{array}
$$

If $g_{A}^{N^{*} N^{*}} \sim+0.3$, then we need larger probabilities for the strangeness components in $N^{*}(1535)$.
2. The electromagnetic transition $\gamma^{*} N \rightarrow N^{*}$ (1535).
[An and Zou, Eur. Phys. J. A 39, 195, (2009)]
Operators:

$$
\begin{align*}
\widehat{T}_{A} & =-\sum_{i=1}^{n_{q}} \frac{e_{i}}{2 m_{i}}\left[\sqrt{2} \widehat{\sigma}_{i+} k_{\gamma}+\left(p_{i+}^{\prime}+p_{i+}\right)\right] \\
\widehat{T}_{\text {Aanni }} & =-\sum_{i=1}^{4} \sqrt{2} e_{i} \hat{\sigma}_{i+} \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Helicity amplitude $A_{1 / 2}^{p}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1 / 2}^{p}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 K_{\gamma}}}\left\langle N^{*}(1535), \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right|\left({\widehat{T_{A}}}+\widehat{T}_{\text {Aanni }}\right)\left|p, \frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$




Contributions of the lowest energy five-quark component in $N^{*}$ (1535), here we take the probability of the five-quark component to be $P_{5 q}=45 \%$.


The solid line is obtained by taking the probability of the lowest energy $q q q q \bar{q}$ components in $N^{*}(1535)$ to be the totally $P_{5 q}$, and the dash dot line $0.6 P_{5 q} \mathrm{MeV}$, i.e. the probability of the next-to-next-to-lowest-energy $q q q q \bar{q}$ components is $0.4 P_{5 q}$, and both the two lines are obtained by setting $P_{3 q}=55 \%$. The dot line is obtained by setting $P_{3 q}=35 \%$, and the dash line $P_{3 q}=75 \%$, and both of the two lines are obtained by taking the probability of the lowest energy $q q q q \bar{q}$ component to be the totally $P_{5 q}$.


Here the solid line is the result obtained by taking the phase factor between the threeand five-quark components in $N^{*}(1535)$ to be +1 , and the probability for the five-quark component is $P_{5 q}=85 \%$.

## Summary

1. There may be $40 \%$ or more five-quark components in $N^{*}(1535)$.
2. The five-quark components in $N^{*}$ (1535) which have the largest probabilities should be the strangeness components, this is in agreement with the large branch ratio of $N \eta$ decay, and also the strong coupling $N^{*}(1535) K \wedge$ and $N^{*}(1535) N \phi$.
3. The axial charge of $N^{*}$ (1535) obtained from our model is consistent with the LQCD result.
4. The non-diagonal transition $\gamma^{*} \rightarrow q \bar{q}$ plays a significant role in the electromagnetic transition $\gamma^{*} N \rightarrow N^{*}$ (1535). Our result fit the experimental data much better than that from the traditional constituent quark model, but it's still not good enough, the relativistic corrections should be taken into account.
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