Three-Loop HTL Free Energy for QED

Nan Su

Frankfurt Institute for Advanced Studies, Goethe-Universität Frankfurt

Collaborators: Michael Strickland (Gettysburg and FIAS), Jens Andersen (NTNU)

Reference: arXiv:0906.2936

The 5-th International Conference on Quarks and Nuclear Physics

Beijing, September 24, 2009

FIAS
Frankfurt Institute for Advanced Studies

Contents

- Introduction
  - Poor convergence of naive perturbation theory at finite temperature
- Hard-Thermal-Loop Perturbation Theory
- Conclusions and Outlook

Introduction



Perturbative QCD free energy with $N_c = 3$ and $N_f = 2$ vs temperature. ($\pi T \leq \mu \leq 4\pi T$)
4-d lattice results from Karsch et al, 03.

(Here $\alpha_s = g_s^2/4\pi$)

- The weak-coupling expansion of the QCD free energy, $\mathcal{F}$, has been calculated to order $\alpha_s^3 \log \alpha_s$. [1,2,3,4]
- At temperatures expected at RHIC energies, $T \sim 0.3$ GeV, the running coupling constant $\alpha_s(2\pi T)$ is approximately 1/3, or $g_s \sim 2$.
- The successive terms contributing to $\mathcal{F}$ can strictly only form a decreasing series if $\alpha_s \lesssim 1/20$ which corresponds to $T \sim 10^5$ GeV.

[1] Arnold and Zhai, 94/95.

[2] Kastening and Zhai, 95.

[3] Braaten and Nieto, 96.

[4] Kajantie, Laine, Rummukainen and Schröder, 02.

Introduction



LO and NLO HTLpt free energy of QCD with $N_c = 3$ and $N_f = 2$ together with the perturbative prediction accurate to g^5.

- Hard-thermal-loop (HTL) perturbation theory [4,5] is a systematic, self-consistent and gauge-invariant reorganization of thermal quantum fields.
- Hard-thermal-loop perturbation theory is formulated in Minkowski space, therefore it is in principle possible to carry out real time calculations.
- Interested in $T > 2 - 3\, T_c$.

[4] Andersen, Braaten, Strickland, 99/99/99.

[5] Andersen, Braaten, Petitgirard, Strickland, 02; Andersen, Petitgirard, Strickland, 03.

But there is still work to do!



LO and NLO HTLpt free energy of QCD with $N_c = 3$ and $N_f = 2$ together with the perturbative prediction accurate to g^5.

- g^4 and g^5 terms can't be fully fixed at NLO. Some of them enter at NNLO. The result has the right magnitude, but the wrong sign.
- Running coupling effect doesn't enter at NLO. At this order, running coupling needs to be put by hand. Coupling constant renormalization enters at NNLO as well.

NNLO is needed!

Hard-Thermal-Loop Perturbation Theory (HTLpt)

- Hard-thermal-loop perturbation theory is a reorganization of the perturbative series for QCD

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm HTLpt} = \left(\mathcal{L}_{\rm QCD} + \mathcal{L}_{\rm HTL}\right)\Big|_{g\to\sqrt{\delta}g} + \Delta\mathcal{L}_{\rm HTL}$$

The HTL “improvement” term is

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm HTL} = -\frac{1}{2}(1-\delta)m_D^2 {\rm Tr}\left(G_{\mu\alpha}\left\langle\frac{y^\alpha y^\beta}{(y\cdot D)^2}\right\rangle_y G^\mu{}_\beta\right)$$

where $\langle\cdots\rangle_y$ indicates angle average

HTLpt: 1-loop free energy for pure glue

- Separation into hard and soft contributions ($d = 3 - 2\epsilon$)

$$\mathcal{F}_g = -\frac{1}{2}\sum\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\int_P \{(d-1)\log[-\Delta_T(P)] \;+\; \log\Delta_L(P)\}$$



  - Hard momenta $(\omega, \mathbf{p} \sim T)$

$$\mathcal{F}_g^{(h)} \;=\; \frac{d-1}{2}\sum\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\int_P \log(P^2) + \frac{1}{2}m_D^2\sum\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\int_P \frac{1}{P^2} - \frac{1}{4(d-1)}m_D^4\sum\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\int_P \left[\frac{1}{(P^2)^2} - 2\,\frac{1}{p^2P^2} - 2d\,\frac{1}{p^4}\mathcal{T}_P + \;2\,\frac{1}{p^2P^2}\mathcal{T}_P + d\,\frac{1}{p^4}(\mathcal{T}_P)^2\right] + \mathcal{O}(m_D^6)$$

  - Soft momenta $(\omega, \mathbf{p} \sim gT)$

$$\mathcal{F}_g^{(s)} \;=\; \frac{1}{2}T\int_{\mathbf{p}} \log(p^2 + m_D^2)$$

HTLpt: 1-loop free energy for pure glue

- LO thermodynamical potential

$$\frac{\Omega_{\rm LO}}{\mathcal{F}_{\rm ideal}} = 1 - \frac{15}{2}\hat{m}_D^2 + 30\hat{m}_D^3 + \frac{45}{4}\left(\log\frac{\hat{\mu}}{2} - \frac{7}{2} + \gamma + \frac{\pi^2}{3}\right)\hat{m}_D^4 + \mathcal{O}(\hat{m}_D^6),$$

where $\hat{m}_D = \frac{m_D}{2\pi T}$ and $\hat{\mu} = \frac{\mu}{2\pi T}$.

- The gap equation is not well-defined at LO (α_s does not appear above). However, we can get LO free energy by setting

$$m_D = gT.$$

HTLpt: 1- and 2-loop free energy for pure glue



LO and NLO HTLpt free energy of pure glue vs temperature

Andersen, Braaten, Petitgirard, Strickland, 02.

HTLpt: naive pert. expansion of QED free energy



Perturbative QED free energy (Kastening and Zhai, 95)

HTLpt: 3-loop diagrams for QED



3-loop QED diagrams contributing to HTLpt

HTLpt: Counterterms

- The counterterms we need in the 3rd loop renormalization are

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta\mathcal{E}_0 &= \frac{1}{128\pi^2\epsilon} m_D^4 \\
\Delta m_D^2 &= -N_f \frac{\alpha}{3\pi\epsilon} m_D^2 \\
\Delta m_f^2 &= \frac{3\alpha}{4\pi\epsilon} m_f^2 \\
\Delta\alpha &= N_f \frac{\alpha^2}{3\pi\epsilon} \quad \text{(same as zero T!)}
\end{aligned}$$

HTLpt: 3-loop thermodynamic potential for QED

- The NNLO thermodynamic potential reads

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{\rm NNLO} \;=\; -\frac{\pi^2 T^4}{45}\Big\{ & 1+\tfrac{7}{4}N_f-\tfrac{15}{4}\hat{m}_D^3 \\
& +N_f\,\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\Big[-\tfrac{25}{8}+\tfrac{15}{2}\hat{m}_D+15\Big(\log\tfrac{\hat{\mu}}{2}-\tfrac{1}{2}+\gamma+2\log 2\Big)\hat{m}_D^3-90\hat{m}_D\hat{m}_f^2\Big] \\
& +N_f\Big(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\Big)^2\Big[\tfrac{15}{64}(35-32\log 2)-\tfrac{45}{2}\hat{m}_D\Big] \\
& +N_f^2\Big(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\Big)^2\Big[\tfrac{25}{12}\Big(\log\tfrac{\hat{\mu}}{2}+\tfrac{1}{20}+\tfrac{3}{5}\gamma-\tfrac{66}{25}\log 2+\tfrac{4}{5}\frac{\zeta'(-1)}{\zeta(-1)}-\tfrac{2}{5}\frac{\zeta'(-3)}{\zeta(-3)}\Big) \\
& \qquad +\tfrac{5}{4}\frac{1}{\hat{m}_D}-15\Big(\log\tfrac{\hat{\mu}}{2}-\tfrac{1}{2}+\gamma+2\log 2\Big)\hat{m}_D+30\frac{\hat{m}_f^2}{\hat{m}_D}\Big]\Big\}
\end{aligned}
$$

PURELY ANALYTIC!

- To eliminate the m_D and m_f dependence, the gap equations are imposed

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial m_D}\Omega(T,\alpha,m_D,m_f,\delta=1) &= 0 \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial m_f}\Omega(T,\alpha,m_D,m_f,\delta=1) &= 0
\end{aligned}
$$

HTLpt: 2- and 3-loop free energy for QED



NLO and NNLO HTLpt predictions for QED free energy

HTLpt: comparison of different schemes



Comparison of three different predictions for QED free energy at $\mu = 2\pi T$

3-loop Φ-derivable result is taken from Andersen and Strickland, 05

Conclusions and Outlook

- The problem of bad convergence of weak-coupling expansion at finite temperature is generic.
- It does not just happen in gauge theories, but also in scalar theories, and even in quantum mechanics.
- Hard-thermal-loop perturbation theory, which is formulated in Minkowski space, can improve the convergence of perturbative calculations in a gauge-invariant manner.
- By pushing forward, hopefully, hard-thermal-loop perturbation theory can provide a generic way towards a convergent gauge theory at high temperature, $T > 2 - 3\,T_c$.
- Once the NNLO QCD thermodynamics is obtained, we can begin to calculate dynamic quatities.

Back-up

Large-N Limit



QED free energy in the large N_f limit. The exact result is taken from Ipp, Moore and Rebhan 03.

Screened Perturbation Theory



4-loop SPT pressure vs weak-couping pressure

Andersen, Braaten and Strickland, 00. Andersen and Strickland, 01.
Andersen and Kyllingstad, 08.

HTLpt: 3-loop free energy for QED



NLO and NNLO HTLpt thermodynamic potentials with perturbative thermal masses

HTLpt: 3-loop free energy for QED



The imaginary part of NNLO HTLpt predictions for QED free energy

Weinberg and Wu, 87